(1) 4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 878 2006 Single Bench

PETITIONER : Surya Prakash S/o. Kartikram Sahu, aged about 40 years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Pisid, Tahsil Kasdol, District Raipur R/o. Village Pisid Tahsil Kasdol, District Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 890/06
Presented by Shri R.S. Rai
dated 16/2/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:1) Ramratan S/o. Firatram Patel, aged about 45 years,
:2) Anant Kumar Sahu S/o. Ganesh aged about 60 years
:3) Amarnath Patel S/o. Gangaram aged about 35 years,
:4) Ishwar Patel, S/o. Shanker aged about 23 years,
:5) Gulal Sahu, S/o. Puriram aged about 32 years,
:6) Ghanshyam Khandekar S/o. Ramprasad, aged about 25 years,
:7) Chamaru Kaiwartya S/o. Bavdha aged about 36 years,
:8) Daras Sahu S/o. Mahadas aged about 40 years,
:9) Dukaluram S/o. Gangu aged about 40 years,
:10) Manharan S/o. Balaram aged about 27 years
:11) Rajkumar Panka S/o. Kartikram aged about 40 years

Respondents 1 to 11 are residents of Village Pisid Tahsil Kasdol, District Raipur (C.G.)

:12) Sub Divisional Officer, Bilaigarh District Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

XI-HC-22

(62)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 878
मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12.05.2006 Shri Rajesh Pandey, counsel for petitioner. Shri S.C. Verma, counsel for respondents No. 1 to 6 and 9 to 11. None for respondents No. 7 & 8. Shri M.P.S. Bhatia, Panel Lawyer for respondent No. 12/State. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to some formal defect, the petition may fail, therefore, he prays for withdrawal of the petition with permission to file afresh and also prays to return the certified copy of the impugned order, to which counsel for other parties have no objection. Considered. I am satisfied with the fact that on account of formal defects present in the petition, it may fail. Therefore, the petitioner's prayer to withdraw the petition with permission to file afresh is allowed. Accordingly, with permission to file afresh, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Return certified copy of the impugned order to the petitioner on substitution of the same by photocopy, within fifteen days. C.C. as per rules. Kvr	Sd/- V.K. Shrivastava Judge

[पीछे देखिये

24/5/06